



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

THE FORMATION OF CONDENSED CORRELATION TABLES WHEN THE NUMBER OF COMBINATIONS IS LARGE

DR. J. ARTHUR HARRIS

CARNEGIE INSTITUTION OF WASHINGTON

AFTER the principles of any method of research are laid down by those who have the genius or the good fortune to make fundamentally new contributions, there always remains much to be done in the refinement, simplification, or adaptation of methods to render them most practically applicable in the routine of investigation. This is especially true in the modern higher statistics, where, at the very best, the labor is excessive.

One of the most onerous of the statistical processes is the determination of correlation in cases in which each individual measurement must be weighted by comparison with a series of others. In an earlier number of this journal¹ a method was described for the rapid formation of the heavy intra-class and inter-class² correlation and contingency surfaces by the use of a machine permitting simultaneous multiplication and summation. Methods of dealing with such correlations without the formation of tables will be published later. But abstract formulæ in the hands of inexperienced calculators are apt to lead to erroneous constants, which in the absence of the original data can never be corrected. Again, the validity of the correlation coefficient as a measure of interdependence depends largely upon linearity of regression. Hence, tables should be given whenever possible. The purpose of this note is to show how, in the case of relationships

¹“On the Formation of Correlation and Contingency Tables when the Number of Combinations is Large,” AMER. NAT., Vol. 45, pp. 566-571, 1911.

²These terms will be clear from their context in this note; they will be more precisely defined later.

involving a very large number of combinations, the chief advantages of the correlation (but not the contingency) surface may be even more easily realized than in the method already described.

By condensed correlation tables are to be understood those giving the (weighted) frequencies for a first character x and the first (and where necessary also the second) rough moment about 0 as origin of the associated array of the y character.³ From such a table⁴ r may be quickly obtained⁵ and the means of arrays calculated for linearity of regression tests.

In principle, the formation of these reduced tables is very simple. Let x, y, z, \dots , be measures on the individuals of the same or associated classes. Let there be n, p, q, \dots , of these individuals. Then if $n, p, q, \dots, \Sigma(x'), \Sigma(y'), \Sigma(z'), \dots, \Sigma(x'^2), \Sigma(y'^2), \Sigma(z'^2), \dots$ (where Σ indicates a summation within the class and the dashes indicate that the measures are to be regarded as deviations from 0) be again summed for each of the component measures, seriated by grades, the four columns—grade of “first individual,” weighted frequency, and the two rough moments about 0 for associated individuals—thus secured for each character either constitute the desired table or one from which it may be easily derived.

The arithmetical routine will be determined largely by the nature of the records. Roughly, two cases are possible: n, p, q, \dots , are small, m is small or large; n, p, q, \dots , are large, m is small,⁶ m being the number of classes or groups of classes.

Suppose n, p, q, \dots , small, say 4–20. The best method

³ In direct intra-class correlations x and y are measures of the same kind; in cross intra-class correlations they are different; in inter-class relationships they may be the same or different.

⁴ For example, Table X of *Biometrika*, Vol. 8, p. 61, 1911, or Table II derived from Table I of the *AMER. NAT.*, Vol. 44, p. 695, 1910.

⁵ See “The Arithmetic of the Product Moment of Calculating the Coefficient of Correlation,” *AMER. NAT.*, Vol. 44, pp. 693–699, 1910.

⁶ Cases where both the numbers within the class and the number of classes are large are very rare because of the great labor required in making the observations.

is to write the values of the first character under consideration—designated for convenience as the subject—down the side of a separate sheet for each class. Opposite each entry is then written $n, \Sigma(x')$ and $\Sigma(x'^2)$, $p, \Sigma(y')$ and $\Sigma(y'^2)$, $q, \Sigma(z')$ and $\Sigma(z'^2)$ and so on, according to the relationships desired. Thus, the measure used as the subject and the number and summed first and second powers of deviation of the individuals of the relative array may be for the same or different characters or classes, depending on whether direct or cross, intra-class or inter-class correlation is to be computed. In any case, the number and moments are only once determined for each class—their repeated entry on the sheet is merely rapid clerical work.

This done, the sheets are clipped into strips by subject entries, the strips seriated according to the subject, and the class numbers and moments summed for each grade on the machine.

For inter-class correlations, the resulting table is correct, embracing as it does, say, $S(pq)$ entries. For intra-class relationships, say for x , the entries are too high by $S(n)$, $S(x')$ and $S(x'^2)$ since it comprises $S(n^2)$ entries when only $Sn(n-1)$ are desired. Hence, the actual frequency for each subject grade must be subtracted from the weighted frequency, and the products of the actual frequency by the grade and by the square of the grade must be deducted from the first and second summed moment column, respectively.

When the number of individuals per class, n, p, q , is large (e. g., 25 or over) another procedure is desirable. The classes of the subject character are seriated (in transverse rows) in a table of vertical columns captioned by the grades. Opposite each row is entered $n, \Sigma(x')$ and $\Sigma(x'^2)$, $p, \Sigma(y')$ and $\Sigma(y'^2)$, $q, \Sigma(z')$ and $\Sigma(z'^2)$, \dots , for all characters to be correlated. The associated (weighted) values for each subject grade are quickly gathered by multiplying up and summing simultaneously the fre-

quencies in each column of the subject seriations by the opposed entries in the relative (number and summation) columns. Again, the result is the desired table or one from which it may be derived.

Illustrations will make the methods most clear. Table I shows the frequencies for the different grades of radial asymmetry⁷ of quinquilocular fruits gathered from 34 individuals of *Hibiscus Syriacus* in the Missouri Botanical Garden in the fall of 1907. Table II gives the seriations for the locular composition⁸ of the same fruits. The last two columns of Table I and the next to the last two of Table II give the first two summations for each individual.⁹

⁷ The radial asymmetry is the standard deviation of the number of ovules per locule about the mean number of ovules per fruit. See *Biometrika*, Vol. 7, pp. 476-479, 1910, for full discussion.

At the head of this table the coefficients of asymmetry are for condensation given to only two places. In all the calculations, however, they have been used to six places. Their values and their true squares as used in the calculations are:

Asymmetry a	a^2
.000000	.00
.400000	.16
.489897	.24
.632455	.40
.748331	.56
.800000	.64
.894427	.80
.979795	.96
1.019803	1.04
1.095445	1.20
1.166190	1.36
1.200000	1.44
1.264911	1.60
1.356466	1.84
1.600000	2.56

⁸ Expressed here simply as the number of locules per fruit with an "odd" number of ovules. Cf. *Biometrika*, Vol. 7, pp. 483-487, 1910.

⁹ The last two columns of Table II give the summations of Table I for convenience in determining the cross intra-class tables. When the cross intra-class tables are to be formed with asymmetry as the subject the $\Sigma(c')$ and $\Sigma(c'')$ column may be added to Table I. Here it is omitted for convenience in publication.

TABLE I
SERIATIONS AND SUMMATIONS OF RADIAL ASYMMETRY BY INDIVIDUALS

Tree	Radial Asymmetry—Standard Deviations of Individual Fruits												N	$\Sigma(a')$	$\Sigma(a^2)$
	.00	.40	.48	.63	.74	.80	.89	.97	1.01	1.09	1.16	1.20	1.26	1.35	1.60
1	22	25	20	5	14	9	2	—	1	1	—	—	—	—	44,540,951
2	37	29	19	5	5	2	—	1	1	—	—	—	—	—	31,411,571
3	46	34	13	2	1	2	—	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	24,561,697
4	66	29	4	1	—	2	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	15,792,043
5	11	25	33	8	11	4	3	—	4	—	—	—	—	—	50,586,565
6	14	22	38	13	8	5	2	—	2	—	1	1	—	—	51,819,299
7	46	30	16	3	3	2	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	25,580,710
8	13	33	29	6	11	3	2	1	1	—	—	—	—	—	45,621,836
9	13	27	32	4	12	10	1	2	—	—	—	—	1	—	50,105,424
10	26	40	17	6	4	6	1	1	—	—	1	—	—	—	40,556,715
11	16	30	25	11	10	4	4	—	—	—	1	—	—	—	46,631,638
12	8	21	34	14	8	12	1	—	—	—	1	—	—	—	51,558,133
13	34	19	24	6	3	9	1	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	34,471,473
14	59	33	4	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	15,792,043
15	13	21	30	10	14	1	2	3	1	1	—	—	—	2	50,254,513
16	42	28	18	6	1	3	—	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	27,941,002
17	33	26	22	7	8	4	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	34,791,567
18	50	24	18	2	2	—	—	2	1	—	—	—	—	—	24,159,111
19	63	18	11	2	2	3	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	17,750,439
20	72	20	6	—	—	1	—	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	12,719,177
21	41	29	16	4	3	4	—	—	2	—	1	—	—	—	30,618,961
22	42	21	25	4	5	2	—	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	29,498,695
23	34	31	19	5	4	4	1	2	—	—	—	—	—	—	33,917,659
24	31	16	28	1	10	6	3	3	1	—	—	—	—	—	39,675,350
25	15	33	32	4	6	10	2	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	44,876,305
26	28	26	21	8	7	5	—	—	1	—	—	—	—	—	37,794,451
27	56	30	8	3	1	2	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	20,164,872
28	38	34	20	2	3	2	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	29,402,270
29	4	25	35	5	11	11	2	2	—	—	—	1	—	—	52,288,755
30	40	25	14	5	5	5	3	1	—	—	—	—	—	—	31,425,564
31	11	27	34	7	9	8	—	2	—	—	1	1	—	—	49,344,442
32	28	31	12	13	9	2	2	3	1	—	—	—	—	—	41,749,890
33	28	21	20	4	6	11	4	3	—	—	1	1	—	—	42,901,029
34	7	34	29	7	13	8	1	1	1	—	—	1	—	—	52,456,526

TABLE II
SERIATIONS AND SUMMATIONS FOR LOCULAR COMPOSITION BY INDIVIDUALS

Tree	Locular Composition—Number of “Odd” Locules per Fruit						N	$\Sigma(e')$	$\Sigma(e'^2)$	$\Sigma(a')$	$\Sigma(a'^2)$
	0	1	2	3	4	5					
1	25	23	19	22	9	1	99	168	466	44.540951	28.24
2	36	25	18	12	6	2	99	131	351	31.411571	17.28
3	46	36	11	5	1	—	99	77	141	24.561697	12.16
4	67	30	3	2	—	—	102	42	60	15.792043	7.28
5	10	19	24	33	12	2	100	224	654	50.586565	31.76
6	13	18	38	24	11	2	106	220	612	51.819299	32.00
7	44	31	9	13	1	2	100	102	250	25.580710	12.80
8	10	21	25	22	17	4	99	225	691	45.621836	26.32
9	15	27	31	17	9	3	102	191	523	50.105424	31.04
10	31	37	18	10	7	—	103	131	311	40.556715	24.64
11	13	30	25	22	7	4	101	194	540	46.631638	27.92
12	8	27	28	29	6	1	99	199	521	51.558133	31.44
13	35	24	27	6	3	2	97	118	284	34.471473	20.40
14	59	33	4	1	—	—	97	44	58	15.792043	6.64
15	9	19	34	24	8	4	98	211	599	50.254513	33.44
16	42	31	14	11	1	—	99	96	202	27.941002	14.64
17	31	22	23	14	7	3	100	153	427	34.791567	19.28
18	50	26	18	5	—	—	99	77	143	24.159111	13.04
19	66	18	8	7	—	—	99	55	113	17.750439	9.36
20	72	21	5	1	1	—	100	38	66	12.719177	6.24
21	42	27	20	6	4	1	100	106	250	30.618961	17.76
22	41	18	19	15	4	3	100	132	368	29.498695	16.00
23	35	33	14	14	3	1	100	120	288	33.917659	19.04
24	32	19	23	17	6	2	99	150	410	39.675350	25.44
25	17	36	28	14	5	1	101	159	379	44.876305	25.28
26	26	22	23	14	10	3	98	165	475	37.794451	22.16
27	57	31	10	2	—	—	100	57	89	20.164872	9.76
28	38	30	16	9	7	—	100	117	287	29.402270	14.80
29	8	27	31	20	10	—	96	189	491	52.288755	32.56
30	44	27	15	9	3	—	98	96	216	31.425564	18.72
31	11	14	37	14	19	5	100	231	717	49.344442	30.16
32	28	33	24	12	4	1	102	138	326	41.749890	26.24
33	30	26	17	14	5	7	99	157	475	42.901029	29.04
34	7	25	29	21	19	1	102	227	659	52.456526	31.84

TABLE III
LOCULAR COMPOSITION

		0	1	2	3	4	5	Totals
Radial Asymmetry	.000000	1,038	—	—	—	—	49	1,087
	.400000	—	730	—	—	187	—	917
	.489897	—	—	420	306	—	—	726
	.632455	—	—	73	111	—	—	184
	.748331	—	—	179	30	—	—	209
	.800000	45	101	—	—	12	4	162
	.894427	—	37	—	—	1	—	38
	.979795	14	12	—	—	5	2	33
	1.019803	—	—	6	11	—	—	17
	1.095445	—	—	1	1	—	—	2
	1.166190	—	—	8	1	—	—	9
	1.200000	—	5	—	—	—	—	5
	1.264911	—	1	—	—	—	—	1
	1.356466	—	—	1	1	—	—	2
	1.600000	1	—	—	—	—	—	1
Totals,		1,098	886	688	461	205	55	3,393

From I and II, the machine quickly compiles four working tables—a direct intra-class for asymmetry, a , and another for locular composition, c , and two cross intra-class tables.¹⁰ The columns under “gross values” in

TABLE VI
ASYMMETRY AND LOCULAR COMPOSITION

A	Gross Values			Values to be Deducted			Working Table		
	n	Total c'	Total c'^2	n	Total c'	Total c'^2	n	Total c'	Total c'^2
.00	108,324	117,335	288,699	1,087	245	1,225	107,237	117,090	287,474
.40	91,608	127,391	332,887	917	1,478	3,722	90,691	125,913	329,165
.48	72,526	117,550	318,254	726	1,758	4,434	71,800	115,792	313,820
.63	18,427	30,358	81,952	184	479	1,291	18,243	29,879	80,661
.74	20,879	36,577	100,993	209	448	986	20,670	36,129	100,007
.80	16,162	26,180	70,560	162	169	393	16,000	26,011	70,167
.89	3,790	6,549	18,093	38	41	53	3,752	6,508	18,040
.97	3,285	5,014	13,422	33	42	142	3,252	4,972	13,280
1.01	1,707	3,040	8,460	17	45	123	1,690	2,995	8,337
1.09	197	379	1,065	2	5	13	195	374	1,052
1.16	910	1,600	4,406	9	19	41	901	1,581	4,365
1.20	503	1,024	2,954	5	5	5	498	1,019	2,949
1.26	102	191	523	1	1	1	101	190	522
1.35	196	422	1,198	2	5	13	194	417	1,185
1.60	103	131	311	1	0	0	102	131	311
	338,719	473,741	1,243,777	3,393	4,740	12,442	335,326	469,001	1,231,335

TABLE VII
LOCULAR COMPOSITION AND LOCULAR COMPOSITION

Loc. Comp.	Gross Values			Values to be Deducted			Working Table		
	n	Total c'	Total c'^2	n	Total c'	Total c'^2	n	Total c'	Total c'^2
0	109,418	117,758	288,576	1,098	0,000	0,000	108,320	117,758	288,576
1	88,448	118,815	305,713	886	886	886	87,562	117,929	304,827
2	68,767	111,775	302,475	688	1,376	2,752	68,079	110,399	299,723
3	46,075	78,151	213,853	461	1,383	4,149	45,614	76,768	209,704
4	20,521	37,538	105,540	205	820	3,280	20,316	36,718	102,260
5	5,490	9,704	27,620	55	275	1,375	5,435	9,429	26,245
	338,719	473,741	1,243,777	3,393	4,740	12,442	335,326	469,001	1,231,335

Tables IV–VII give the results. These contain, since $p=q$, a total $S(p^2)=S(q^2)=S(pq)$ entries, whereas in the direct intra-class relationships $S[p(p-1)]=S[q(q-1)]$, and in the cross intra-class $S[p(q-1)]=S[q(p-1)]$ are desired.

¹⁰ One for the relationship between radial asymmetry and locular composition, the other for the correlation between locular composition and radial asymmetry. Of course, both give the same end result, and only one need be found unless the linearity of both regressions is to be tested.

From these gross values must be deducted, therefore, the actual frequency for each grade of the subject and the product of the frequency by the first and second power of the grade in the case of direct intra-class correlation, or the frequency of the grade and the sum of the first and second powers of the values of the relative character in the same fruit in the cross intra-class correlation. Data for these are given in the table showing the correlation for asymmetry and locular composition of the same fruit, Table III. The second set of three columns in Tables IV–VII gives the quantities so calculated from Table III to be deducted. The final three columns are in each case the working tables.

The first and second moments for the (weighted) population A and σ are given by the totals of the two final columns. Or those for the subject character may be calculated (and a check for the accuracy of the totals secured) from the grade of the subject and the weighted frequency column.¹¹

From our working tables, indicating by S a summation from our final tables, we determine by the methods of AMER. NAT., Vol. 45, pp. 693–699, 1910, these values:

For Asymmetry

$$S(a') = 121,938.5928, \quad A_a = .363642,$$

$$S(a'^2) = 71,692.2400, \quad \sigma_a = .285593.$$

For Locular Composition

$$S(c') = 469,001, \quad A_c = 1.398642,$$

$$S(c'^2) = 1,231,335, \quad \sigma_c = 1.309906.$$

For Asymmetry and Locular Composition

$$\text{Table IV, } S(a_1'a_2') = 48,818.9505, \quad r = .1637,$$

$$\text{Table VI, } S(a_1'c_2') = 192,072.3309^{12}, \quad r = .1716,$$

$$\text{Table V, } S(c_1'a_2') = 192,072.3308^{12}, \quad r = .1716,$$

¹¹ Of course in practise, the second population moment may be calculated by $S[(n-1)\Sigma(x^2)]$, $S[(p-1)\Sigma(y^2)]$, $S[(q-1)\Sigma(z^2)]$, . . . , thus obviating the labor of forming the third columns, which are included here for completeness of illustration merely.

¹² The difference of .0001 is due to the necessity of lopping off the last two places of the six decimals in the asymmetry coefficient in the one case while they can be retained in the other. Of course, it is of no practical significance.

Table VII, $S(c_1'c_2') = 763,048.0000$, $r = .1861$.

While primarily illustrations of method, these results, if they are substantiated by further work, seem to me of considerable biological interest. They show not only that individuals of *H. Syriacus* differ in the radial asymmetry and in the locular composition of their fruits, but that when an individual bears fruits above the average asymmetry, it also produces fruits above the average in number of "odd" locules. Apparently, this cross correlation is as high as either of the direct correlations.

Two biological interpretations are possible. (a) The production of radially symmetrical ovaries and those with a high number of odd locules depends upon the same morphogenetic tendencies of the primordia,¹³ which give rise to the fruit. (b) There is in *Hibiscus* an intra-individual selective elimination similar to that demonstrated in *Staphylea*,¹⁴ the intensity of which differs from individual to individual in such a way as to bring about (statistical) correlation for characters originally uncorrelated.

The discussion of these points falls outside the scope of the present note where the data serve merely as a random illustration of a very rapid method of carrying out the routine of a widely applicable statistical process.

COLD SPRING HARBOR,
April 25, 1912

¹³ In the individual fruit radial asymmetry and locular composition are necessarily associated (cf. *Biometrika*, Vol. 7, pp. 491-493, 1910). In *Staphylea*, correlations of $r = .22$ to $r = .33$ have been noted. Table III above gives $r = .527$ for asymmetry and locular composition of the same fruit.

Probably in all these relationships regression is not linear, and the correlations must be interpreted with caution.

¹⁴ *Biometrika*, Vol. 7, pp. 452-504, 1910; *Science*, N. S., Vol. 32, pp. 519-528, 1910; *Zeitschr. f. Ind. Abst. u. Vererbungsl.*, Vol. 5, pp. 273-288, 1911; *Pop. Sci. Mo.*, Vol. 78, pp. 534-537, 1911.